

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 3683 CDR-1 Marcel Coderre 07/11/2003 10/617,583 EXAMINER 11/30/2004 7590 HAMILTON, ISAAC N Ira S. Dorman Suite 200 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 330 Roberts Street 3724 East Hartford, CT 06108

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/617,583	CODERRE, MARCEL	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Isaac N Hamilton	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 July 2003.			
2a)□	Pa) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7,8,15 and 16 is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-14 and 17</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
		•		
Attachment(s)				
1) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	Date	
3) 🕅 Info	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 per No(s)/Mail Date 07/11/2003.		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - I. Species in figures 1-4.
 - II. Species in figure 5.
 - III. Species in figure 6.
- 2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.
- 3. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 4. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 5. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Art Unit: 3724

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. During a telephone conversation with applicant's representative, Mr. Ira Dorman, on 11/04/04, a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Species I, claims 1-6, 9-14 and 17. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 7, 8, 15 and 16 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Drawings

7. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "tension gauge element" in claims 10-12, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the

Art Unit: 3724

renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 9-14 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Graham (2,525,894). Graham discloses body 5; means for mounting 19; bow member/strip of material 26; first edge 20; integrally formed as seen in figure 1; planar as seen in figure 4; slot is juxtaposed element 18 and element 17; axis of slot is collinear with element 18; axis between spaced points is collinear with element 20; tension gauge element 30, 41; element on other side of said body 17; free outer end portion 41 inherently engages cooperating element 17 when springs 25 are fully compressed; fingers 34; tab 39. It is to be noted that tension gauge 30 is a spring that visually indicates, through the deflection of the spring, the amount of force generated by the bow member.
- 10. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 9 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jones (4,132,256). Jones discloses body 12; means for mounting 14; bow member/strip of

Art Unit: 3724

material 24; first edge 30; integrally formed as seen in figure 1; planar as seen in figure 3; slot is juxtaposed means for mounting 14 and body 12; axis of slot is collinear with circular element of means for mounting 14; axis between spaced points is collinear with first edge; tension gauge element 26; element on other side of said body 36; tab 26. It is to be noted that tension gauge 26 is a spring that visually indicates, through the deflection of the spring, the amount of force generated by the bow member.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graham. Graham discloses the claimed invention except for a synthetic resinous material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a synthetic resinous material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416. See also *Ballas Liquidating Co. v. Allied industries of Kansas, Inc.* (DC Kans) 205 USPQ 331. It would have been obvious to provide a synthetic resinous material in Graham as taught by *In re Leshin* in order to make the apparatus lighter for convenient portability.

Art Unit: 3724

13. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones. Jones discloses the claimed invention except for a synthetic resinous material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a synthetic resinous material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416. See also *Ballas Liquidating Co. v. Allied industries of Kansas, Inc.* (DC Kans) 205 USPQ 331. It would have been obvious to provide a synthetic resinous material in Jones as taught by *In re Leshin* in order to make the apparatus lighter for convenient portability.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isaac Hamilton whose telephone number is 571-272-4509. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday between 8am and 5pm. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

ш УМ

November 19, 2004

BOYER ASHLEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER